

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,370		12/21/2000		William J. LaBarge	DP-303157	8629
	22851	22851 7590 03/16/2005			EXAMINER	
	DELPHI TI		LOGIES, INC.	TRAN, HIEN THI		
	PO BOX 50:				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TROY, MI 48007				1764	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/747,370	LABARGE ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hien Tran	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP						
must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appears was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri principle set in the final Office the of the final rejection, of appeal brief. The Not	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a even if timely filed tice of Appeal			
Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	f the appeal. Since a l	Notice of Appea			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or 	w); tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,					
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u>. Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u>. Claim(s) rejected: <u>15-24</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u>. 	□ will not be entered, or b)	II be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by of the same reason set forth in the final office action. No directed to alternate refractory materials for the carrier versus persuasive as the Deeba et al discloses that the carrier material, such as alumina, titania, zirconia, zirconium phrefractory material in col. 8 is not for the substrate only.	ote that applicants argue that the divinich corresponds to the instant sumember may be coated with wash nosphate, etc. (see, for example, co	isclosure at col. 8 in [ubstrate. Such content coat which includes re	Deeba et al is tion is not efractory			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)				
13. Other:		then Tran				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Hien Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1764